

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/801,599	MORTGAT, DIDIER	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** allowed

(1) Devin Hanan.

(3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Edward Tracy.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 5 December 2005

**Time:** 10 AM

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
- Video Conference
- Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*35 USC 102 rejection*

**Claims discussed:**

1

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*Hagle (U.S. Patent 5,207,558)*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Claim one did not have the allowable subject matter which was contained in claims 7 and 16. Additional language added to claim 1 would make it allowable (see examiner's amendment).*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)